Introduction

The contents of the following supplement should be read in conjunction with the National Stroke Foundation's *National Stroke Audit - Rehabilitation Services Report 2020*. This report can be accessed from the Stroke Foundation's website: <u>https://informme.org.au/stroke-data</u>

Appendix 1: Participating Hospitals

We would like to thank everyone involved at all participating hospitals for their time and diligence on the National Stroke Audit - Rehabilitation Services 2020.

NSW

Armidale Hospital Jaclyn Birnie

Ballina District Hospital Gloria Vann, Bridgette Rouesnel, Megan Childs, and team

Balmain Hospital Indu Nair, Pip Taylor, and team

Bankstown Lidcombe Hospital Angela Firko, Carol Castilleio, and team

Bathurst Hospital Fiona Ryan

Belmont Hospital Kerry Boyle, and team

Braeside Hospital Caitlin Anderson, Carol Connolly, and team

Camden Hospital Angela Firko, Carol Castillejo, and team

Coledale District Hospital Helen Brooks, Suzanne Lide, and team

Concord Hospital Renai Pillay and team

David Berry Hospital Jacqui Cornell Goulburn Base Hospital Leanne Rayner

Greenwich Hospital Fey-Ching Un and team

Griffith Base Hospital Lorraine Maxwell

Hunter Valley Private Hospital Jessica Baker and team

John Hunter Hospital – Rankin Park Judith Dunne and team

Lady Davidson Private Hospital Suellen Fulton and team

Lourdes Hospital Kaylene Green and team

Maclean District Hospital Suzanne Boon and team

Metro Rehabilitation Hospital Lara Fernandez

Mt Wilga Rehabilitation Hospital Annemieke Clark and team

Murwillumbah Hospital Debbie Huxstep and team

Nepean Hospital Roslynne Raynard

Orange Hospital Fiona Ryan Prince of Wales Hospital Greg Bowring, Clare Griffin, and team

Royal Rehab Private Karen Chestney and team

Ryde Hospital Sandra Lever and team

Sacred Heart Health Service Olivia Misa and team

Shoalhaven District Memorial Hospital Jacqui Cornell and Donna Jay

St George Hospital Julie Morrison and team

St Joseph's Hospital Javnika Chauhan and team

Sutherland Hospital Victoria Byiers and team

Tamworth Base Hospital Sue Simpson and team

Wagga Wagga Rural Referral Hospital Pamela Dendy and team

War Memorial Hospital Fiona Russell, Keerthana Salprakash and team

Waratah Hospital Jen Angus and team Wingham Community Hospital Fiona Minett and team

NT

Alice Spring Hospital Anna Holwell and team

Royal Darwin Hospital Howard Flavell and team

QLD

Brighton Health Campus and Services Jessica Riggall, Renee Hammond, and team

Bundaberg Base Hospital Simone Rogers and Helen Eaves

Cairns Base Hospital Wei Qu, Damiane Clifford, and team

Canossa Private Hospital Kylie Lodge and Gerry Wong

Gold Coast University Hospital Christie Woodman and Haylee Berrill

Gympie Hospital Karen Hudson

Ipswich Hospital Linda Edwards and team

Logan Hospital Lisa Newbury

Mackay Base Hospital Anne Hooper

Maryborough Base Hospital Pauline Blaney

Mt Isa Hospital Liana Bryant Prince Charles Hospital Catlin Kearney

Princess Alexandra Hospital Phil Aitken, Maria Draper and team

Redcliffe Hospital Kerrie Garrad and team

Robina Hospital Diane Greenwood and Haylee Berrill

Rockhampton Hospital Sandra Greensill and team

Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital Kana Appadurai, Scott Parkinson and team

Sunshine Coast University Hospital Julie Harding

St Stephen's Hervey Bay Natasha Holland

Toowoomba General Hospital Carolann Huet

Townsville Hospital Leezah Castorina, Shannon Woods, and team

SA

Calvary Rehabilitation Hospital Judy Matthews, Julie Eastway and team

Flinders Medical Centre Petra Bierer and team

Griffith Rehabilitation Hospital Lauri Wild and team Hampstead Rehabilitation Centre Fern McKinnel, Rachel Harling, and team

Modbury Hospital Meredith Jolly and team

Mount Gambier Hospital Sue Hayward and team

Riverland Regional Health Service – Berri Campus Bridgette McKenzie and team

Whyalla Hospital Natalie Hale and team

TAS

Calvary Healthcare Tasmania - St Johns Campus Sandra Hniat

Launceston General Hospital Polly Showell and team

Mersey Community Hospital Jacqueline Roberts-Thomson and team

Royal Hobart Hospital Carol Harding, Brendan Bakes and team

VIC

Albury Wodonga Health - Wodonga Campus Vanessa Crosby

Angliss Hospital Shae Cooke and team

Bairnsdale Hospital Suzanne McArthur

Bendigo Hospital Leanne Muns and team **Cabrini Health** Suzy Goodman

Casey Hospital Nicole Barna

Caulfield General Hospital Catherine Brooks, Johnathon Hurst, and team

Central Gippsland Health Service Sue Rowley and team

Echuca Hospital Lauren Arthurson and team

Epworth Rehabilitation -Brighton Debra Perlow

Golf Links Rd Rehabilitation Unit Carol Casson and team

Goulburn Valley Health - Shepparton Melanie Brown and team

Hamilton Base Hospital Louise Starkie and team

Heidelberg Repatriation Hospital Tavia Rudd and team

Kingston Centre Ellen Goh and team

Latrobe Regional Hospital Janet May and team McKellar Centre -Barwon Health -Natasha Selenitsch and Sharon Anderson

Peter James Centre Shae Cooke and team

Rosebud Hospital Janice Lovett and team

Royal Talbot Rehabilitation Centre Tavia Rudd and team

St John of God Bendigo Debbie Kesper

St Vincent's Hospital Victoria Meaghan Mackenzie

St Vincent's St George's Health Service Anne Ashman

The Mornington Centre Siobhan Barber and team

Wangaratta - Northeast Health Lyn Malone and team

Warrnambool Base Hospital Patrick Groot

Wimmera Base Hospital - Horsham Deidre Rennick and team

WA

Albany Hospital Michelle Backhouse Armadale/Kelmscott Memorial Hospital Alexandra Dray

Bentley Health Service -Bentley Hospital Kieran English and team

Bunbury Hospital Michaela Eaton, Renee Dehring, and team

Fiona Stanley Hospital Jayne Martin and team

Fremantle Hospital Alicia Massarotto and team

Geraldton Regional Hospital Megan Grazziadelli and team

Joondalup Health Campus Michelle Young and team

Osborne Park Hospital Kien Chan, Donna Wheeldon and team

Rockingham General Hospital Katrina Taylforth, Joanne Hughes and team

St John of God Midland Public Hospital Lynda Southwell

Appendix 2: Organisational Survey Questions

ORGANISATIONAL SURVEY

<u>9.00</u> Which of the following best describes the rehabilitation service at your site: Free standing rehabilitation hospital / Rehabilitation ward within acute hospital in same building of same health campus / Rehabilitation ward within acute hospital in separate buildings of same health campus / Rehabilitation service within acute hospital (no designated beds) / Comprehensive Stroke Unit

9.01 How many beds are dedicated for inpatient rehabilitation at your site?

<u>9.02</u> Does your site have a dedicated stroke rehabilitation unit with co-located stroke beds within a geographically defined unit? Yes / No If yes, how many designated stroke beds?

9.03 How many stroke rehabilitation patients:

- a. Are currently in all your inpatient rehabilitation beds today?
- b. Were admitted to your site last year?
- c. Are currently in your dedicated stroke rehabilitation unit today?
- d. Were admitted to your dedicated stroke rehabilitation unit last year?

<u>9.04</u> Regarding assessing suitability for inpatient rehabilitation, who is responsible for making the decision to refer for rehabilitation at your hospital?

- a. Acute physician
- b. Post-acute physician (rehabilitation physician, geriatrician)
- c. Nurse
- d. Multidisciplinary team (acute)
- e. joint acute / rehabilitation team member/s
- e. Other team member specify

 $\underline{9.05}$ Is there a standardised process regarding assessing suitability for inpatient rehabilitation at your hospital? Yes / No

<u>9.06</u> Routinely when does the assessment for inpatient rehabilitation occur? *Early (within first 3-4 days) of acute admission / Within the first week of acute admission / After the first week of acute admission / Varies*

<u>9.07</u> Do you have regular multidisciplinary team meetings for the interchange of information about individual stroke patients? Yes / No How often are these meetings held per month?

<u>9.08</u> Does your site have a formal process for developing and documenting goals with patients? Yes / No

<u>9.09</u> How does your hospital usually establish patient-directed goals? Patient interviewed by each discipline only / Goals discussed and reviewed at team meeting after patient meets with each discipline separately / Patient and full multidisciplinary team set goals together / Ad hoc -no consistent process used / Goals not patient-directed at this hospital / Other

9.10 Does your site provide group circuit classes? Yes / No

9.101 How many days a week does your site provide active therapy (PT and/or OT)?

a.	7	days	per	week

d. 3-4 days per week

b. 6 days per week

e. >3 days per week

c. 5 days per week

<u>9.102</u> What is the average number of minutes of active physical therapy provided per patient per week? This should include total therapy delivered via any mechanism -1:1, group/circuit classes, allied health assistants.

<u>9.11</u> How long on average do patients with motor impairments undertake active physical therapy (PT and/or OT) per day? This should not include time spent watching others.

a. <1hr b. 1hr d. 3hr e. >3 hrs

c. 2hr

<u>9.11-9.115</u> Providing the right amount of physical activity can be limited by a number of factors. Which of the following are factors are limitations at your site:

Staff factors (time/skill, etc.) / Patient factors (capacity, dependence, etc.) / Service factors (equipment, environment, timetabling, etc.) / Time spent on non-patient contact activity, including time spent in information exchange with other clinicians / Not applicable (we provide recommended level to all patients)

<u>9.116</u> Does your site include individually tailored exercise interventions to improve cardiorespiratory fitness?

<u>9.12</u> Does your site routinely provide patient information prior to discharge Yes / No If yes, which of the following are included:

- a. Stroke care, implications and recovery
- b. Secondary prevention
- c. Local community care arrangements
- d. Community stroke support groups
- e. Is aphasia friendly communication available for all of the above

<u>9.13</u> Are there documented processes and systems to <u>support</u> routine use of evidencebased guidelines to inform clinical care? Yes / No

<u>9.14</u> Are there documented processes and systems to <u>monitor</u> the routine use of evidencebased guidelines to inform clinical care? *Yes / No*

<u>9.15</u> Are there documented processes and systems to ensure patients receive evidencebased intensity of therapy related to their goals? Yes /No

9.16 Is there a dedicated person liaising between acute and rehabilitation services? Yes / No

<u>9.161</u> Is there regular meetings between acute and rehabilitation services? Yes / No (if yes) How often are these meetings held per month?

a)	< once per month	d)	3
b)	1	e)	4 or more

c) 2

<u>9.17</u> Is there onsite telehealth facility which has been utilised for clinical decision making within the last six months? Yes / No

<u>9.18</u> Please identify which of the following health professionals are actively involved in the rehabilitation management of stroke patients at your hospital? Rehabilitation physician Yes / No Geriatrician Yes / No General medical physician Yes / No Neurologist Yes / No General practitioner/visiting medical officers Yes / No Rehabilitation nurse Yes / No Clinical nurse consultant Yes / No Clinical nurse specialist Yes / No Physiotherapist Yes / No If yes, how many days per week Speech pathologist Yes / No If yes, how many days per week Dietitian Yes / No Social worker Yes / No Occupational therapist Yes / No If yes, how many days per week Clinical psychology Yes / No Neuropsychologist Yes / No Recreational therapist Yes / No Diversional therapist Yes / No Allied health assistant/therapy assistant Yes / No If yes, how many days per week Medical resident Yes / No Stroke liaison officer/stroke care coordinator Yes / No Other [Specify]

<u>9.191</u> Which of the following is the medical leader responsible for the management of your stroke rehabilitation patients? Please indicate whether this is a formal recognition (a defined process exists), or whether this person usually assumes the responsibility. Rehabilitation physician Geriatrician General medical physician Neurologist General practitioner/visiting medical officers

<u>9.20</u> Is there a program for the continuing education of staff relating to the management of stroke? Yes /No

<u>9.21</u> Does your site provide the following community rehabilitation services: Centre-based rehabilitation (e.g. Outpatient rehabilitation or day hospital) / Communitybased rehabilitation provided in the home / Stroke specific Early Supported Discharge

<u>9.22</u> Does your site have protocols guiding discharge planning for your stroke rehabilitation patients Yes / No

<u>9.23</u> Are patients routinely given a discharge care (personal recovery) plan on discharge from hospital Yes / No

9.24 Does your site routinely provide carer training to carers requiring it? Yes / No

<u>9.25</u> Are patients/carers given details of a hospital contact on transfer from hospital to community? Yes / No

<u>9.26</u> Does your site routinely follow up stroke patients to assess their post stroke needs? Yes / No

<u>9.27</u> Over the last 2 years has the stroke team been involved in quality improvement activities that have included collecting and reviewing local stroke data and agreeing on strategies to improve care? Yes / No

Appendix 3: Calculations from the Organisational Survey for the *Rehabilitation Stroke Services Framework (2013)*

Element of service	Indicator(s)
Effective links with acute stroke service providers	9.16 Is there a dedicated person liaising between acute and rehabilitation services?9.05 Is there a standardised process for assessing suitability for inpatient rehabilitation at your hospital?
Specialised interdisciplinary stroke (or neurorehabilitation) team with access to staff education and professional development specific to stroke	 9.18 Please identify which of the following health professionals are actively involved in the rehabilitation management of stroke patients at your hospital? 9.20 Is there a program for the continuing education of staff relating to the management of stroke?
Co-located stroke beds within a geographically defined unit	9.02 Does your site have a dedicated stroke rehabilitation unit with co-located stroke beds within a geographically defined unit?
Standardised and early assessment for neurorehabilitation	9.05 Is there a standardised process regarding assessing suitability for inpatient rehabilitation at your hospital?9.06 Routinely when does the assessment for inpatient rehabilitation occur?
Written rehabilitation goal setting processes with patients	9.08 Does your site have a formal process for developing and documenting goals with patients?9.09 How does your hospital usually establish patient-directed goals?
Routine use of clinical guidelines to inform evidence-based therapy for clinicians	 9.13 Are there documented processes and systems to support routine use of evidence-based guidelines to inform clinical care? 9.14 Are there documented processes and systems to monitor the routine use of evidence-based guidelines to inform clinical care?
Best practice and evidence-based intensity of therapy for goal related activity with patients	9.15 Are there documented processes and systems to ensure patients receive evidence-based intensity of therapy related to their goals?
Systems for transfer of care, follow-up and re-entry for patients	 9.25 Are patients/carers given details of a hospital contact on transfer from hospital to community? 9.22 Does your site have protocols guiding discharge planning for your stroke rehabilitation patients? 9.6 Does your site routinely follow up stroke patients to assess their post stroke needs?
Support for the person with stroke and carer (e.g. carer training, provision of information/education, provision of care plan) to maximise community participation and long-term recovery	 9.12 Does your hospital routinely provide patient information prior to discharge? 9.23 Are patients routinely given a discharge care (personal recovery) plan on discharge from hospital? 9.24 Does your site routinely provide carer training to carers requiring it?
Systems that support quality improvement, i.e. regular (at least every two years) review of local audit data by the stroke team to prioritise and drive stroke care improvement	9.27 Over the last 2 years has the stroke team been involved in quality improvement activities that have included collecting and reviewing local stroke data and agreeing on strategies to improve care?

Appendix 4: Clinical Audit Questions

CLINICAL AUDIT

AUDITOR INFORMATION

1.000 Hospital name (auto-populated)1.020 Auditor name (auto-populated)1.030 Auditor Email (auto-populated)1.050 Auditor discipline (auto-populated)

PATIENT DEMOGRAPHICS

Patient details 2.000 Patient record ID (auto-created) 2.090 Date of birth 2.100 Age 2.130 Gender 2.170 Interpreter needed Yes / No 2.180 Is the patient of Aboriginal/Torres Strait Islander origin?

ADMISSION AND TRANSFER INFORMATION

Admission details 4.000 Onset date 4.340 What date was the patient admitted to the inpatient rehabilitation facility?

Intra hospital transfers

4.660 Prior to rehabilitation, where has the patient come from? (*Stroke unit/ Acute inpatient ward / Other rehabilitation ward / General practitioner referral / Other / Unknown*) 4.670 Where was this patient treated during inpatient rehabilitation? (*Dedicated stroke rehabilitation unit / Neurorehabilitation unit / Combined acute and rehabilitation unit / Mixed rehabilitation ward*)

OTHER CLINICAL INFORMATION

Impairments on admission to rehabilitation On admission were any of the following impairments present? 9.000 Sensory deficit Yes / No 9.010 Cognitive deficit Yes / No 9.020 Visual deficit Yes / No 9.030 Perceptual deficit Yes / No 9.040 Speech and communication deficit Yes / No 9.050 Hydration problems Yes / No 9.060 Nutrition problems Yes / No 9.061 Arm deficit Yes / No

Hydration and nutrition 9.270 Was the patient at risk of malnutrition? Yes / No Management included: 9.330 Ongoing monitoring by a dietitian Yes / No 9.340 Nutritional supplementation for nutritional status poor or deteriorating Yes / No 9.350 Alternative feeding Yes / No 9.355 Type (NG feeding / PEG)

Mobilisation 9.360 Was the patient able to walk independently on admission? Yes / No Management included 9.410 Tailored, repetitive practice of walking (or components of walking) Yes / No
9.420 Cueing of cadence Yes / No
9.430 Mechanically assisted gait (via treadmill or other) Yes / No
9.440 Joint position biofeedback Yes / No
9.450 Other therapy Yes / No

Arm deficit

Management included: 9.510 Constraint-induced movement therapy (in selected patients) Yes / No 9.520 Repetitive task-specific training Yes / No 9.530 Mechanically assisted training Yes / No 9.540 Other therapy Yes / No

Continence

9.550 Was patient assessed for urinary incontinence within 72hrs of admission? Yes / No
9.611 Was the patient incontinent of urine during their rehabilitation care? Yes / No
9.620 Did the patient have urge incontinence? Yes / No
9.630 Was a prompted scheduled voiding regime documented? Yes / No
9.640 Did the patient have urinary retention? Yes / No
9.650 Was intermittent catheterisation documented? Yes / No

9.660 Was a urinary incontinence management plan documented? Yes / No

Mood

9.740 Was the patient's mood assessed? Yes / No
9.780 Did the patient have a mood impairment? Yes / No
Management included:
9.790 Antidepressants Yes / No
9.800 Psychological (e.g. Cognitive-behavioural) interventions Yes / No
9.810 Other therapy Yes / No
9.820 No therapy provided Yes / No

ADL

9.830 Did the patient have difficulty with Activities of Daily Living? Yes / No Management included:
9.840 Task specific practice Yes / No
9.850 Trained use of appropriate aids Yes / No
9.860 Other Yes / No

Aphasia

9.870 Did the patient have aphasia Yes / No Management included: 9.880 Alternative means of communication (e.g. gestures, drawing, writing) Yes / No 9.890 Phonological & semantic interventions Yes / No 9.900 Constraint-induced language therapy Yes / No 9.910 Supported conversation techniques Yes / No 9.920 Delivery of therapy programs via computer Yes / No 9.930 Group therapy (e.g. conversation groups) Yes / No 9.940 Other therapy Yes / No Neglect

9.950 Did the patient have neglect/inattention Yes / No
Management included:
9.960 Visual scanning training with sensory stimulation Yes / No
9.970 Prism adaptation Yes / No
9.980 Eye patching Yes / No

9.990 Simple cues to draw attention to the affected side Yes / No 10.000 Mental imagery training or structured feedback Yes / No 10.010 Other therapy Yes / No

Dependency within 72 hours of admission

10.310 First known modified Rankin Scale (*within 72 hours of admission to rehabilitation*) Scores of 0 through to 6

10.320 Unknown/derive

10.330 Is the patient alive? Yes / No

10.340 Can the patient walk on their own (i.e. without the assistance of another person, but may include walking aid)? Yes / No

10.350 If the patient can't walk on their own can they walk if someone is helping them? Yes / No

10.360 If the patient can walk on their own (includes walking aids) do they need help with simple usual personal activities (toilet, bathing, dressing, cooking, household tasks, simple finances)? *Yes / No*

10.370 If the patient can perform simple personal activities, do they need help with more complex usual activities (driving, finances, household bills, work tasks)? Yes / No 10.380 If the patient has no disability, do they have any symptoms? Yes / No

10.390 Total Motor FIM score on admission

10.410 Total Cognitive FIM score on admission

Allied health assessments

10.450 Was the patient seen by a physiotherapist Yes / No / Not required / Patient declined / Therapist not on staff 10.460 Date 10.500 Was the patient seen by an occupational therapist Yes / No / Not required / Patient declined / Therapist not on staff 10.510 Date 10.550 Was the patient seen by a speech pathologist Yes / No / Not required / Patient declined / Therapist not on staff 10.560 Date 10.600 Was the patient seen by a social worker Yes / No / Not required / Patient declined / Therapist not on staff 10.610 Date 10.650 Was the patient seen by a dietitian Yes / No / Not required / Patient declined / Therapist not on staff 10.660 Date 10.700 Was the patient seen by a psychologist Yes / No / Not required / Patient declined / Therapist not on staff 10.710 Date

Communication and support for patient and family/carer

10.750 Did the team meet with the patient to discuss management? Yes / No / No but met with family

10.760 Were goals set with input from the team and patient? Yes / No / No but met with family

10.790 Did the patient and/or family receive information covering stroke, hospital management, secondary prevention and recovery (e.g. 'My Stroke Journey' booklet)? Yes / No / Not documented

10.830 Does the patient have a carer? Yes / No / Not required

10.840 Did the carer receive relevant carer training? Yes / No

10.850 Did the carer receive a support needs assessment (e.g. physical, emotional, and social)? Yes / No

Reason (If, no): Patient transferred to inpatient rehab or other rehab / Carer declined / Other 10.860 Was the carer provided with information about peer support resources prior to patient's discharge? Yes / No / Not documented Reason (If, no): Patient transferred to inpatient rehab or other rehab / Carer declined / Other

COMPLICATION DURING HOSPITAL ADMISSIONS

Did the patient have any of the following complications <u>on</u> admission to rehabilitation:

11.010 Aspiration pneumonia Yes / No

11.020 Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT) Yes / No

- 11.030 Falls Yes / No
- 11.040 Fever Yes / No
- 11.050 Pressure sores e.g. decubitus ulcer Yes / No
- 11.070 Shoulder subluxation Yes / No

11.080 Shoulder pain Yes / No

- 11.090 Urinary tract infection Yes / No
- 11.100 Contracture Yes / No
- 11.110 Malnutrition Yes / No

Did the patient have any of the following complications <u>during</u> their admission to rehabilitation:

11.160 Aspiration pneumonia Yes / No

- 11.170 Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT) Yes / No
- 11.180 Falls Yes / No
- 11.190 Fever Yes / No
- 11.200 Pressure sores e.g. decubitus ulcer Yes / No
- 11.230 Shoulder pain Yes / No
- 11.240 Shoulder subluxation Yes / No
- 11.280 Malnutrition Yes / No
- 11.290 New onset atrial fibrillation Yes / No
- 11.320 Urinary tract infection Yes / No
- 11.350 Contracture Yes / No

FURTHER REHABILITATION AND COMMUNITY RE-INTEGRATION

12.040 Was a referral made to rehabilitation? [Note: this is a generic question; for the purposes of the Rehabilitation Audit, please treat as referral to further rehabilitation on discharge] Yes / No / Unknown

12.051 If yes, Type Inpatient rehabilitation / Inpatient rehabilitation ASU / Outpatient rehabilitation / Community rehabilitation home based / Community rehabilitation day hospital / Early supported discharge service / GEM Rehabilitation / Transition care – residential / Transition care – community / Individual therapist (home based) / Individual therapist (centre based) / Other

12.180 Was the patient made aware of the availability of generic self- management programs before discharge from hospital? Yes / No

12.190 Was the patient asked if they wanted to return to driving? Yes / No / Not documented 12.191 Reason (if ' no') Did not drive prior to stroke / Patient too ill to participate / Severe cognitive impairment / Other

12.200 Did the patient want to return to driving? Yes / No

12.220 Was the patient provided with information about the process to return to driving? Yes / No / Not documented

12.230 Was the patient referred for driving assessment Yes / No / Not documented

12.231 Was the patient employed before the stroke onset? Yes / No

12.240 Was the patient asked if they wanted to return to work? Yes / No / Not documented 12.250 Did the patient want to return to work? Yes / No

12.260 Was the patient informed of services to assist with return to work? Yes / No / Not documented

With regard to sexuality, was the patient offered:

12.270 The opportunity to discuss issues relating to sexuality Yes / No

12.280 Written information addressing issues relating to sexuality post stroke Yes / No 12.290 Was the patient provided with information about peer support (e.g. availability and benefits of local stroke support groups or other sources of online peer support i.e. EnableMe)? Yes / No / Not documented

SECONDARY PREVENTION

13.000 Is there evidence of patient education about behaviour change for modifiable risk factors prior to discharge? Yes / No

Medication prescribed on discharge

13.020 On discharge was the patient prescribed antithrombotics

Yes / No / Unknown / Contraindicated

If yes, please specify

13.030 Aspirin Yes / No

13.040 Clopidogrel Yes / No

13.050 Dipyridamole MR Yes / No

13.055 Other antiplatelet drug Yes / No

13.060 Warfarin Yes / No

13.070 Dabigatran Yes / No

13.080 Rivaroxaban Yes / No

13.090 Apixaban Yes / No

13.100 Other anticoagulant Yes / No

13.110 If no, select reason Patient refused / Under review / Treatment was futile (i.e.

advance care directive is enacted or the patient is on a palliative care pathway) / No reason given

13.120 On discharge was the patient prescribed antihypertensives Yes / No / Unknown / Contraindicated

13.200 If no, select reason Patient refused / Under review / Treatment was futile (i.e. advance care directive is enacted or the patient is on a palliative care pathway) / No reason given

13.210 On discharge was the patient prescribed lipid-lowering treatment Yes / No 13.240 If no, select reason Patient refused / Under review / Treatment was futile (i.e. advance care directive is enacted, or the patient is on a palliative care pathway) / No reason given

DISCHARGE INFORMATION

14.080 Date of discharge *DD/MM/YYY*

14.150 What is the discharge ICD 10 Classification Code?

14.160 What is the discharge destination/mode? Discharge or transfer to another hospital / Discharge or transfer to a residential aged care service, unless this is the usual place of residence / Statistical discharge – type change / Left against medical advice or discharged at own risk / Died / Other / Usual residence (e.g. home) with supports / Usual residence (e.g. home) without supports / Inpatient rehabilitation / Transitional care service

14.170 What is the level of support if discharged to private residence? Lives alone (no formal supports) / Lives alone (formal supports) / Lives with others (no formal supports) / Lives with others (formal supports)

14.180 Indicate if this is the same level of support as before the stroke *No change from previous / Change from previous / Unknown*

14.190 Is there evidence that a care plan outlining post discharge care in the community was developed with the team and the patient (or family alone if patient has severe aphasia or cognitive impairments)? Yes / No / Unknown / Not applicable (remains in hospital e.g. inpatient rehabilitation or other acute care)

L14.20 If yes, did this include:

14.200 Patient Yes / No

14.201 Family/carer Yes / No

14.210 Is there evidence that the general practitioner and or community providers were provided with a copy of the discharge summary? Yes / No / Not applicable (e.g. inpatient rehab)

14.220 Was a home assessment carried out? Yes / No / Not required

14.240 Did the patient receive the contact details of someone in the hospital for any postdischarge questions? Yes / No / No but provided to family

14.250 Functional status on discharge? (mRS)

Scores of 0 through to 6

14.260 Unknown/Derive

14.265 Is the patient alive? Yes / No

14.270 Can the patient walk on their own (i.e. without the assistance of another person, but may include walking aid)? Yes / No

14.280 If the patient can't walk on their own can they walk if someone is helping? Yes / No 14.290 If the patient can walk on their own (includes walking aids) do they need help with simple personal activities (toilet, dressing, household tasks, simple finances)? Yes / No 14.300 If the patient can perform simple personal activities do, they need help with more complex usual activities (driving, finances, household bills, work tasks)? Yes / No 14.310 If the patient has no disability do, they have any symptoms? Yes / No

14.340 Total Motor FIM score on discharge

14.360 Total Cognitive FIM score on discharge

Appendix 5: Key Indicator Analysis from the Clinical Audit Data

Variable	Numerator	Denominator
Goals set with input from the team and patient	Total number of patients with stroke who were involved in the process of setting their rehabilitation goals with input from the full multidisciplinary team.	 N = All patients with stroke admitted to hospital. <i>Exclusions:</i> Patients with severe cognitive and/or communication difficulties.
Patient's mood assessed during admission	Total number of patients with stroke who received a documented assessment for a mood impairment during their rehabilitation admission.	N = All patients with stroke admitted to hospital
Patient and/or family received information covering stroke, hospital management, secondary prevention and recovery (e.g. My Stroke Journey booklet)	Total number of patients with stroke and/or their families who received relevant information during their rehabilitation admission.	N = All patients with stroke admitted to hospital.
Patient received education about behaviour change for	Total number of patients who received risk factor modification	N = All patients with stroke admitted to hospital.
modifiable risk factors prior to discharge	education during their rehabilitation admission.	<i>Exclusions:</i> Those patients that died during the inpatient admission.
	Total number of patients who were discharged from inpatient	N = All patients with stroke who were discharged from hospital.
Patient prescribed antihypertensive medication on discharge	rehabilitation with antihypertensive medication.	<i>Exclusions:</i> Patients who were deemed contraindicated for treatment and those patients that died during the inpatient admission.
	Total number of patients with stroke whose carer(s) have received relevant training during their	N = All patients with stroke who have a documented carer upon their discharge from hospital.
Carer received relevant training	rehabilitation admission.	<i>Exclusions</i> : Carers of patients with stroke who left against medical advice, were transferred for further inpatient rehabilitation or acute care, or who were discharged to residential care.
Evidence that care plan was developed with the team and	Total number of patients with stroke and/or their families who received a	N = All patients with stroke who were discharged from hospital.
patient (or family alone if patient has severe communication or cognitive impairments)	care plan prior to discharge from hospital.	<i>Exclusions:</i> Those patients where a care plan was deemed not applicable and those patients that died during the inpatient admission.

Appendix 6: Clinical Data Analysis – Numerator & Denominator

General Rulings: - Only valid (yes/no) responses included in the denominator for impairments - For processes of care, not documented/unknown responses included in denominator

Question	Numerator	Denominator
Impairments on admission (sensory,		Yes + No
cognitive, visual, perceptual, speech &		Not documented excluded
communication, hydration, nutrition, arm	Yes	(Total cohort)
deficit)		
Patient at risk of malnutrition		Yes + No
	Vee	
	Yes	Not documented excluded
		(Total cohort)
Ongoing monitoring by a dietitian	Yes	Yes + No
		Only if have nutrition impairment
Nutritional supplementation	Yes	Yes + No
		Only if have nutrition impairment
Alternative feeding	Yes	Yes + No
-		Only if have nutrition impairment
NG Feeding/PEG		Only those YES to alternative
0.	Yes	feeding
Patient able to walk independently on		Yes + No
admission	Yes	Unknown excluded
		(Total cohort)
Management options for mobilisation		Yes + No
	Yes	Only includes those not
		independent on admission
Management options for arm deficit		Yes + No
	Yes	Includes only those with arm
		deficit
Patient assessed for urinary incontinence	Yes	Yes + No + ND
within 72 hours		(Total cohort)
Was the patient incontinent of urine	Vac	Yes + No
during their rehab care	Yes	Not documented excluded (Total cohort)
Did the patient have urge incontinence		Yes + No
Did the patient have dige incontinence		Not documented excluded
	Yes	If incontinent of urine during
		rehab
Was a prompted scheduled voiding		Yes + No + ND
regime documented	Yes	If incontinent of urine during
0		rehab
Did the patient have urinary retention		Yes + No
	Yes	Not documented excluded
	162	If incontinent of urine during
		rehab
Was intermittent catheterisation		Yes + No + ND
documented	Yes	If incontinent of urine during
		rehab

Was a urinary incontinence management		Yes + No + ND
plan documented	Yes	If incontinent of urine during
		rehab
Was mood assessed		Yes + No + ND
	Yes	(Total cohort)
Did the patient have a mood impairment		Yes + No
	Yes	Not documented excluded
	103	Only if had mood assessed
Management of mood impairments		Yes + No
Management of mood impairments	Yes	Only if had mood impairment
Difficulty with Activities of Daily Living		Yes + No
Difficulty with Activities of Dully Living	Yes	Not documented excluded
	103	(Total cohort)
Management options for difficulty with		Yes + No
ADLs	Yes	Only if difficulty with ADLs
Did the patient have aphasia		Yes + No
Did the patient have aphasia	Yes	Not documented excluded
	163	(Total cohort)
Management options for aphasia		Yes + No
Management options for aphasia	Yes	Only if aphasic
Did patient have neglect/inattention		Yes + No
Did patient nave neglect/mattention	Yes	Not documented excluded
	res	
Management antions for		(Total cohort) Yes + No
Management options for	Yes	
neglect/inattention		Only if have inattention/neglect
Assessed by allied health	Vac	Yes + No + No therapist on staff
	Yes	Excludes Not required or Patient declined
Assessed by distition		
Assessed by dietitian		Yes + No + No therapist on staff
	Vac	Only include those with nutrition
	Yes	problem on admission
		Excludes Not required or Patient declined
Accessed by psychologist		
Assessed by psychologist		Yes + No + No therapist on staff Only include those with mood
	Yes	impairment if assessed
	Tes	Excludes Not required or Patient
		declined
Team met with patient to discuss		Yes + No
•	Yes	Excludes No but met with family
management Team met with family to discuss	No but met with	No + No but met with family
-	family	(Total cohort)
management Goals set with input from team and	iaililiy	Yes + No
patient	Yes	(Total cohort)
Goals set with input from team and	No but met with	No + No but met with family
family	family	(Total cohort)
•	танніў	Yes + No + ND
Patient/family received info covering	Yes	
stroke, recovery, etc.		(Total cohort)
Does the patient have a carer	Vac	Yes + No
	Yes	Excludes Not required
		(Total cohort)

Carer received carer training		Yes + No
	Yes	If had carer & if did not decline & discharged to private residence - usual residence +/- support or other
Carer received supported needs		Yes + No
assessment	Yes	If had carer & if did not decline & discharged to private residence - usual residence +/- support or other
Carer provided with info about peer		Yes + No + ND
support resources	Yes	If had carer & if did not decline & discharged to private residence - usual residence +/- support or other
Complications – on admission & during admission	Yes	Yes + No (Total cohort)
Referral made for further rehab	Yes	Yes + No + Unknown (Total cohort)
Unknown if referral made for further rehab	Unknown	Yes + No + Unknown (Total cohort)
Type of further rehab	Yes	Yes + No If referral made
Patient made aware of self-management programs	Yes	Yes + No Only includes those alive at discharge
Patient asked if they wanted to return to driving	Yes	Yes + No + ND If discharged to private residence - usual residence +/- support or other
Reasons patient not asked if they wanted to return to driving	Yes	Yes + No If No to asked If discharged to private residence - usual residence +/- support or other
Patient wanted to return to driving	Yes	Yes + No If asked if wanted to return to driving & discharged to private residence - usual residence +/- support or other
Patient provided with info about the process to return to driving	Yes	Yes + No + ND If wanted to return to driving & discharged to private residence - usual residence +/- support or other
Patient referred for driving assessment	Yes	Yes + No + ND If wanted to return to driving & discharged to private residence - usual residence +/- support or other

Was the patient employed before stroke		Yes + No
onset	Yes	If discharged to private residence - usual residence +/- support or other
Was patient asked if they wanted to return to work	Yes	Yes + No + ND If employed before stroke onset & discharged to private residence - usual residence +/- support or other
Patient wanted to return to work	Yes	Yes + No If employed before stroke onset & discharged to private residence - usual residence +/- support or other
Patient informed of services to assist to return to work	Yes	Yes + No +ND If employed before stroke onset , wanted to return to work & discharged to private residence - usual residence +/- support or other
Patient offered opportunity to discuss issues relating to sexuality	Yes	Yes + No Only includes those alive at discharge
Patient offered written info addressing issues relating to sexuality	Yes	Yes + No Only includes those alive at discharge
Patient offered info about peer support	Yes	Yes + No + ND Only includes those alive at discharge
Education about behaviour change	Yes	Yes + No Only includes those alive at discharge
Reasons for no evidence of patient education re behaviour change	Yes	Yes + No If No to education about behaviour change & discharged alive
Prescribed antithrombotic	Yes	Yes + No + Unknown Excludes contraindicated Includes only ischaemic stroke & those alive at discharge
Not prescribed antithrombotic - contraindication	Contraindication	Yes + No + Unknown + Contraindication Includes only ischaemic stroke & those alive at discharge
Prescribed antihypertensive	Yes	Yes + No + Unknown Excludes contraindicated Only includes those alive at discharge
Not prescribed antihypertensive - contraindication	Contraindication	Yes + No + Unknown + Contraindication Only includes those alive at discharge

Patient prescribed lipid-lowering		Yes + No
treatment	Yes	Includes only ischaemic stroke &
		those alive at discharge
Inpatient mortality	Diad	All other discharge destinations
	Died	(Total cohort)
Other discharge destinations	Yes	Exclude deaths from denominator
Level of support if discharged to private		Yes + No
residence		Only includes those with discharge
	Yes	destination as 'other', 'usual
		residence + support', 'usual
		residence – support'
Is level of support same/changed if		Yes + No
discharged to private residence		Unknown excluded
	Yes	Only includes those with discharge
		destination as 'other', 'usual
		residence + support', 'usual
Discharge and also are ided to notice t		residence – support' Yes + No + Unknown
Discharge care plan provided to patient or family		Excludes Not applicable
or failing	Yes	Only includes those alive at
		discharge
GP provided with copy of discharge		Yes + No
summary		Excludes Not applicable
	Yes	Only includes those alive at
		discharge
Home assessment carried out		Yes + No
		Excludes Not required
	Yes	Only includes those with discharge
	105	destination as 'other', 'usual
		residence + support', 'usual
		residence – support'
Patient received contact of someone in	Yes + No but	Yes + No + No but provided to
hospital for post-discharge	provided to	family
	family	Only includes those alive at
	,	discharge